Luminality and non-decay of Gravitational Waves in Modified Gravity

S. Mironov, A. Shtennikova, M. Valencia-Villegas

Institute for Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, MSU,

Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences

22nd Lomonosov Conference,

Moscow State University, Russia 26 of August 2025

Outline

- 1. Why modified gravity?
- 2. Theoretical options after GW170817:
 - ▶ Historically standard approach: Constrain the Scalar couplings
 - ► In this talk: "Double down"

add Scalar-Photon couplings

3. Gravitational Wave decay constraints:

A novel theory to bypass them.

1. Why modified gravity?

- 2. Theoretical options after GW170817:
 - ▶ Historically standard approach: Constrain the Scalar couplings
 - ► In this talk: "Double down"

add Scalar—Photon couplings

3. Gravitational Wave decay constraints:

A novel theory to bypass them.

1. Introduction:

Why "Modified Gravity"?

Our reference theory, Einstein's gravity (GR)

- ▶ generally suffers singularities, if the Null Energy Condition (NEC) holds (Penrose, Hawking theorems),
- ► ACDM (Cosmological Constant Problem, Weinberg (1989)),
- ► challenges ahead? (DESI BAO data, e.g. A.G. Adame et al., DESI 2024 VI. JCAP 02 (2025))

:

1. A paradigm: Horndeski theory

Scalar modification of GR that

- ightharpoonup keeps second order equations (No Ghosts),
- ▶ does not satisfy the NEC in general,

Unique answer: **Horndeski theory** (1974)

Extensively used for

- ► early and late time cosmology
- compact objects and other modified gravity solutions

There are generalizations: Beyond Horndeski (Gleyzes-Langlois-Piazza-Vernizzi theory) and DHOST (Ben Achour, Crisostomi, Koyama, Langlois, Noui Tasinato (2016) JHEP 12, 100 [arXiv:1608.08135])

1. What is Horndeski theory?

- ▶ Take a real scalar field π besides the metric field,
- ► On top of GR take 4 general Scalar Potentials $G_i(\pi, X)$, i = 2, 3, 4, 5, where $X = \nabla_{\mu} \pi \nabla^{\mu} \pi$,
- ▶ Most general combinations of R and $(\nabla^2 \pi)^p$ with $p \leq 3$ and G_i coefficients, with second order equations of motion.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi} = \mathcal{L}_{2} + \mathcal{L}_{3} + \mathcal{L}_{4} + \mathcal{L}_{5},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = G_{2}(\pi, X),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{3} = G_{3}(\pi, X) \Box \pi,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{4} = G_{4}(\pi, X)R - 2G_{4X}(\pi, X) \left[(\Box \pi)^{2} - \pi_{;mn} \pi^{;mn} \right],$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{5} = G_{5}(\pi, X)G^{mn} \pi_{;mn} + \frac{G_{5X}}{3} \left[(\Box \pi)^{3} - 3\Box \pi \pi_{;mn} \pi^{;mn} + 2\pi_{;mn} \pi^{;ml} \pi_{;l}^{n} \right],$$

1. Review: The Speed of Perturbations

► Take the Perturbed metric

$$ds^2 = (\eta_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}) dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$$

with FLRW background

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}x^{\mu} \mathrm{d}x^{\nu} = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a^2 \,\delta_{ij} \mathrm{d}x^i \mathrm{d}x^j$$

and metric perturbation,

$$\delta g = a^2 \left(2 S_i dt dx^i + (\partial_i F_j + \partial_j F_i + 2 h_{ij}) dx^i dx^j \right) ,$$

- ▶ The Photon is the perturbation $A_i(\eta, \vec{x})$
- ► Scalar sector remains untouched

1. Review: The Speed of Perturbations

► Quadratic action for Graviton,

$$S_{Graviton} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3x a^3 \left(\mathcal{G}_{\tau} \dot{h}_{ij}^2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\tau}}{a^2} \left(\partial_k h_{ij} \right)^2 \right), \qquad (2)$$

thus, the Speed of Gravitational Waves is,

$$c_g^2 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\tau}}{\mathcal{G}_{\tau}} \,,$$

► Quadratic action for the Photon,

$$S_{Photon} = \frac{1}{4} \int dt d^3x \, a \left(\mathcal{G}_A \, \dot{A}_i^2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_A}{a^2} \left(\partial_k A_i \right)^2 \right) \,, \tag{3}$$

thus, the Speed of the Photon is,

$$c^2 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_A}{\mathcal{G}_A} \,.$$

- 1. Why modified gravity?
- 2. Theoretical options after GW170817:
 - ► Historically standard approach: Constrain the Scalar couplings
 - ► In this talk: "Double down"

add Scalar—Photon couplings

3. Gravitational Wave decay constraints:

A novel theory to bypass them.

- 2. (nearly) Luminal GWs: observation vs. theory
 - ▶ The event GW170817 and the electromagnetic counterpart GRB170817A set the speed of GWs (c_g) very close to the speed of light c

$$\left| \frac{c_g}{c} - 1 \right| \le 5 \times 10^{-16} \,,$$
 (4)

in this talk, I assume exactly Luminal GWs, $c_g \equiv c$

▶ Now, what's with **the theory**? in Horndeski theory on a cosmological FLRW background, the speed of GWs is

$$c_g^2 = \frac{2G_4 - 2\ddot{\pi}XG_{5,X} + XG_{5,\pi}}{2G_4 - 4XG_{4,X} - 2\dot{\pi}XHG_{5,X} - XG_{5,\pi}} \neq \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{c}^2, \quad (5)$$

- 2. Luminal GWs. Option (B)
 - (B) Historically standard approach: Constrain the Scalar couplings by

assuming minimal Photon such that $c_g = c = 1$.

▶ Consequence of (B): necessarily $G_{4X} = G_5 \equiv 0$

$$c_g^2 = \frac{2G_4 - 2\ddot{\pi}XG_{5,X} + XG_{5,\pi}}{2G_4 - 4XG_{4,X} - 2\dot{\pi}XHG_{5,X} - XG_{5,\pi}} = \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{c}^2, \quad (6)$$

- ▶ We are left with Brans-Dicke type theory $G_4 = G_4(\pi)$.
- ▶ We lose the Fab-Four, in the context of Cosmological constant problem (Charmousis et. al., 2011)

Is there another option?

Yes!

Luminal GWs. Option (A)

► (A) Supplement Horndeski theory with the Scalar—Photon couplings that satisfy

$$\frac{c_g(t)}{c(t)} = 1. (7)$$

Note that here we will not assume $c(t) \equiv 1$.

Basic idea is: Gravity couples universally to all matter.

What if

the scalar modification of gravity at cosmological scales also shares this universal coupling property? In particular, DE Scalar — Photon

How to obtain

Dark Energy—Photon couplings
such that we see

$$\frac{c_g(t)}{c(t)} = 1$$
?

KK is a possibility

(A) Horndeski Theory + DE —Photon couplings

► Our proposal reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{Horndeski Theory} + \mathcal{L}_{4A} + \mathcal{L}_{5A} \tag{8}$$

where the **Dark Energy—Photon couplings** are

$$\mathcal{L}_{4A} = -\frac{1}{4}G_4 F^2 + G_{4,X} F_{\mu}{}^{\sigma} F_{\nu\sigma} \pi^{;\mu} \pi^{;\nu}$$
 (9)

$$\mathcal{L}_{5A} = G_5(\pi) \left(\frac{1}{8} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu}{}^{\rho} (-4\pi_{;\nu\rho} + g_{\nu\rho} \Box \pi) + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\sigma} F^{\nu\sigma} \pi^{;\mu} \right)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$.

- S. Mironov, A. Shtennikova and M. V-V (2024), Phys.Lett.B 858, 139058 [arXiv:2405.02281]
- S. Mironov, A. Shtennikova and M. V-V (2025), Phys. Rev. D 111, L101501 [arXiv:2412.13460]

Partial Conclusion:

- ▶ A different viewpoint: in this talk the speed tests suggest the way Dark Energy couples to the Photon such that $c_g(t)/c(t) = 1$, which is what was actually measured in GW170817 and GRB170817,
- ▶ We recover non-minimal couplings (Fab Four),
- \blacktriangleright We are brought to a U(1) vector-scalar Galileon.
- ightharpoonup How does the theory look? \cdots

Partial Conclusion:

▶ Add \mathcal{L}_{4A} and \mathcal{L}_{5A} to the Horndeski Lagrangian

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{=}\mathcal{L}_{2} + \mathcal{L}_{3} + \mathcal{L}_{4} + \mathcal{L}_{5} + \mathcal{L}_{4A} + \mathcal{L}_{5A}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{2} &= G_{2}(\pi, X), \\ \mathcal{L}_{3} &= G_{3}(\pi, X) \Box \pi, \\ \mathcal{L}_{4} &= G_{4}(\pi, X) R - 2G_{4X}(\pi, X) \left[(\Box \pi)^{2} - \pi_{;mn} \pi^{;mn} \right], \\ \mathcal{L}_{5} &= G_{5}(\pi) G^{mn} \pi_{;mn}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{4A} &= -\frac{1}{4} G_{4}(\pi, X) F^{2} + G_{4,X} F_{\mu}{}^{\sigma} F_{\nu\sigma} \nabla^{\mu} \pi \nabla^{\nu} \pi \\ \mathcal{L}_{5A} &= G_{5} \left(\frac{1}{8} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu}{}^{\rho} (-4 \nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\rho} \pi + g_{\nu\rho} \Box \pi) + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\sigma} F^{\nu\sigma} \nabla^{\mu} \pi \right) \end{split}$$

with this theory, automatically

$$\frac{c_g(t)}{c(t)} = 1$$

- 1. Why modified gravity?
- 2. Theoretical options after GW170817:
 - ▶ Historically standard approach: Constrain the Scalar couplings
 - ► In this talk: "Double down"

add Scalar—Photon couplings

3. Gravitational Wave decay constraints:

A novel theory to bypass them.

3. GWs \rightarrow DE?

▶ In general, with G_{4X} , $G_5 \neq 0$,

 $GWs \rightarrow DE$ decay channels **hss** and **hhs**

▶ Problem holds in Beyond Horndeski theory \mathcal{L}_{BH} (GLPV) and in any disformally related theory

$$\mathcal{L}_{BH} = \text{Horndeski Theory} + \mathcal{L}_{F4}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{F4} = F_4(\pi, X) \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \, \epsilon^{\mu'\nu'\rho'}_{\sigma \sigma} \, \pi_{;\nu\nu'} \, \pi_{;\rho\rho'} \, \pi_{;\mu} \, \pi_{;\mu'}$$
(11)

▶ Usual conclusion without DE — Photon couplings: Need! $F_4 = G_5 = G_{4X} = 0$

Creminelli, Lewandowski, Tambalo Vernizzi (2018) JCAP 12, 025 [arXiv:1809.03484]

► Conclusion changes with DE — Photon couplings!

3. DE — Photon couplings: GWs → DE

► GW decay is suppressed if

$$F_{4}\left(4G_{4} + X(2G_{4,X} + 3G_{5,\pi})\right) + XF_{4,X}\left(2G_{4} + XG_{5,\pi}\right) = 0$$

$$+4G_{4,X}^{2} + 4G_{4}G_{4,XX} + G_{5,\pi}\left(4G_{4,X} + 2XG_{4,XX} + G_{5,\pi}\right)$$
(12)

Creminelli, Lewandowski, Tambalo Vernizzi (2018) JCAP 12, 025 [arXiv:1809.03484]

- ▶ Without DE Photon couplings $F_4 = \frac{-2G_{4,X}}{X}$ and $G_5 = 0$ by $c_g = c = 1$.
- ▶ With DE Photon couplings F_4 is free: use this Freedom and satisfy Eqn. (12)!!

$$F_4(\pi, X) = \frac{1}{2X^2} \left(2G_4 - X(4G_{4,X} + G_{5,\pi}) + \frac{4J_4(\pi)}{2G_4 + XG_{5,\pi}} \right), \quad (13)$$

3. SUMMARY

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Horndeski}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Beyond Horndeski}}(F_4(\pi, X)) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{BH}_{4A}} + \mathcal{L}_{5A}, \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{BH_{4A}} = -\frac{G_4}{4} F^2 + \frac{2G_{4,X} + X F_4(\pi, X)}{2} (F_{\mu\nu}\pi^{\mu})^2 ,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{5A} = G_5(\pi) \left(\frac{1}{8} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu}{}^{\rho} (-4\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\rho}\pi + g_{\nu\rho}\Box\pi) + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\sigma} F^{\nu\sigma}\nabla^{\mu}\pi \right)$$

Automatically luminal and with suppressed GW decay (Notice, this theory is NOT disformally related to Maxwell electrodynamics).

We are left with 3 free potentials of π , X (namely, G_2 , G_3 , $G_4(\pi, X)$) and 2 free potentials of π (namely, G_5 , $J_4(\pi)$).

Conclusions

- ▶ We obtained an extension of Beyond Horndeski theory Eqn (14) that
 - ▶ automatically propagates luminal Gravitational waves, $\frac{c_g(t)}{c(t)} = 1$
 - ► GWs do not decay into Dark Energy,
 - ▶ has nonminimal couplings of the Scalar of Dark Energy to both the Graviton and the Photon
- ▶ This is the largest Beyond Horndeski theory (and DHOST) that enjoys this property. F_5 and other DHOST quad+qubic remain ruled out.
- \blacktriangleright orthogonal tests to Modifications of Gravity? Pandora's Box??

Ferreira, Wolf, Read, (2025). The Spectre of Underdetermination in Modern Cosmology

2405.02281



Thanks for your attention!

Support slides:

- ▶ One possibility: First, take 5D Horndeski theory, just as a tool,
- ▶ compactify with the Ansatz (16) and the Cylinder condition

$$^{(5)}g_{BC} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} + A_{\mu}A_{\nu} & A_{\mu} \\ A_{\nu} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (16)$$

- ▶ 4D fields A_{μ} and g are but components of $^{(5)}g$
 - ▶ their speeds are bound to be the same ...
 - \blacktriangleright ... But, caveat, we ignore the Dilaton \longrightarrow compute speeds and check

Quadratic Action

▶ Quadratic action about FLRW, With h_{ij} Graviton and $A_i(t, \vec{x})$ Photon perturbation:

 $A_i(t, \vec{x})$ decouples

▶ Quadratic action for Graviton and Photon read,

$$S_{Graviton} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3x a^3 \left(\mathcal{G}_{\tau} \dot{h}_{ij}^2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\tau}}{a^2} (\partial_k h_{ij})^2 \right), \qquad (17)$$

$$S_{Photon} = \frac{1}{4} \int dt d^3x \, a \left(\mathcal{G}_A \, \dot{A}_i^2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_A}{a^2} \left(\partial_k A_i \right)^2 \right) \,, \tag{18}$$

▶ Simple relation $S_{Graviton}$ to S_{Photon} ,

$$\mathcal{G}_A = \mathcal{G}_\tau + \Delta_l \tag{19}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_A = \mathcal{F}_\tau \,. \tag{20}$$

Quadratic Action

► Speed of GWs and Light, respectively, on the cosmological medium,

$$c_g^2 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\tau}}{\mathcal{G}_{\tau}}, \quad c^2 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_A}{\mathcal{G}_A}$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}_{A} = \mathcal{F}_{\tau}, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{G}_{A} = \mathcal{G}_{\tau} + \Delta_{\boldsymbol{l}}$$

$$\frac{c_{g}^{2}}{c^{2}} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\tau}}{\mathcal{G}_{\tau}} \frac{\mathcal{G}_{A}}{\mathcal{F}_{A}} = \frac{\mathcal{G}_{A}}{\mathcal{G}_{\tau}} = 1 + \frac{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{l}}}{\mathcal{G}_{\tau}}, \qquad (21)$$

with

$$\Delta_l = -2\dot{\pi}XHG_{5,X}$$

▶ We get Luminal GWs with $G_{5,X} = 0$:

nonminimal couplings $G_4(\pi, X)$, $G_5(\pi)$ preserve

$$\frac{c_g^2(t)}{c^2(t)} = 1. (22)$$

With Dilaton

▶ Where does $G_{5X} = 0$ come from?

on FLRW

$$\frac{c_g^2}{c^2} = 1 - \frac{2\dot{\pi} X (H - l) G_{5,X}}{\mathcal{G}_{\tau}}, \qquad (23)$$

l=0 in our case

(Cross check: with Dilaton (ϕ) , $l = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}$. 5D hom/ isotropy means l = H).

Disformally related? Not quite

 $ightharpoonup \mathcal{L}_{4A}$ is disformally equivalent to Maxwell, (Babichev, Charmousis, Muntz, Padilla and Saltas (2024))

$$(T: g_{\mu\nu} \to \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = A(\pi, X) g_{\mu\nu} + B(\pi, X) \pi_{;\mu} \pi_{;\nu})$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}F^2 \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{L}_{4A} = -\frac{1}{4}G_4 F^2 + G_{4,X} F_{\mu}{}^{\sigma} F_{\nu\sigma} \pi^{;\mu} \pi^{;\nu}$$

But, notice that \mathcal{L}_{5A} is NOT disformally equivalent to Maxwell,

$$-\frac{1}{4}F^2 \stackrel{T}{\nrightarrow} \mathcal{L}_{5A} = G_5 \left(\frac{1}{8}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu}{}^{\rho} \left(-4\pi_{;\nu\rho} + g_{\nu\rho}\Box\pi \right) + \cdots \right)$$

this will be essential in what follows.

S. Mironov, A. Shtennikova and **M. V-V** (2025), Phys. Rev. D 111, L101501 [arXiv:2412.13460]